**CS692 - Retrospective Report**

**Iteration: I1**

Team: 1

Project Manager: Sanket Sanjay Bunage

Application Name: Jobster

Meeting Date: 3/1/2021

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **What Worked Well** | **What can be Improved** | **Suggested Improvement Actions** |
| * We work well as a team. In order to meet the deadlines this semester, we split the work due each week based on our roles. We built on the progress we made on the Jobster app last semester. * The last couple of weeks have kept us extremely busy, since there were a number of documents that were due and we had to make sure that we were all involved. Our lead developer and lead quality tester were extremely busy developing features and testing to make sure that our app performed as expected. * We met every couple of days to make sure we were on track with the deadlines and the deliverables for the week. * We revisited the documents we completed last semester: the BRM and Context diagrams and decided not to make any changes at this point. The BRM lists the stakeholders, both internal and external and it shows the data flow. * For the RCT, we greyed out the features we implemented last semester and made sure it represented our understanding of Jobster. * For each user story, we identified tasks for the user story by checking the RCT and crosscuts we included in the iteration. We made sure that each crosscut had at least one task. We allocated points based on the number of tasks and the amount of work that went into the user story. * For each iteration, we included a sum of the story points that we planned to complete. Each iteration had a set delivery date and we worked hard towards meeting that deadline. * The burn-down chart kept us on track and helped us see visually how much was completed and what was left. Having checkpoints helped us break the work in chunks and made it easier for us to stay on track. * For the tests, we focused on each core feature. For Field Validation, testing focused on one data entry field at a time. * Finally, the GitHub repository and demo demonstrate the effort and our successful completion of Iteration I. | * Timing for the meetings. We need to make sure we can all be present and stay to the end of the meeting * Peer-reviews could be coordinated better | * We’ll have deadlines early in the week so that we can give the peer-reviewer more time to review * We need to prioritize and work on time management |